Form 26

FORM 26. Docketing Statement

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

V. United States DOCKETING STATEMENT This Docketing Statement must be completed by all counsel and filed with the court within 14 days of the date of docketing. When the United States or its officer or agency is a party, this Docketing Statement must be completed by all counsel and filed with the court within 30 days of docketing. All questions must be answered or the statement will be rejected. Name of the party you represent Jemai's Lazriv Water, LLC Party is (select one) Appellant/Petitioner Cross-Appellant Intervenor Tribunal appealed from and Case No. US. Court of Federal Claims, No. 12-151 C Date of Judgment/Order December 19, 2013 Type of Case Contract - Lease (CDA) Relief awarded below (if damages, specify) Summary Judgment in favor of the United States Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from The Court of Federal Claims ("CFC") granted summary judgment in favor of the United States and denied Appellant's request for summary judgment. The case concerns the United States obligation under the parties' lease to reimburse Appellant for increases in real estate taxes over a "base year amount," which in this instance depends on when the property first received a "full assessment." The property were tax years 2010 instead of 2007.	FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT
United States DOCKETING STATEMENT This Docketing Statement must be completed by all counsel and filed with the court within 14 days of the date of docketing. When the United States or its officer or agency is a party, this Docketing Statement must be completed by all counsel and filed with the court within 30 days of docketing. All questions must be answered or the statement will be rejected. Name of the party you represent Jemal's Lazriv Water, LLC Party is (select one) Appellant/Petitioner Cross-Appellant Intervenor Tribunal appealed from and Case No. US. Court of Federal Claims, No. 12-151 C Date of Judgment/Order December 19, 2013 Type of Case Contract - Lease (CDA) Relief sought on appeal Reversal of trial court's order on cross-motions for summary judgment Relief awarded below (if damages, specify) Summary Judgment in favor of the United States Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from The Court of Federal Claims ("CFC") granted summary judgment in favor of the United States and denied Appellant's request for summary judgment. The case concerns the United States denied Appellant's request for summary judgment. The case concerns the United States over a "base year amount," which in this instance depends on when the property first received a "full assessment." The	No. 14-5038
DOCKETING STATEMENT This Docketing Statement must be completed by all counsel and filed with the court within 14 days of the date of docketing. When the United States or its officer or agency is a party, this Docketing Statement must be completed by all counsel and filed with the court within 30 days of docketing. All questions must be answered or the statement will be rejected. Name of the party you represent Jemal's Lazriv Water, LLC	Jemal's Lazriv Water, LLC
DOCKETING STATEMENT This Docketing Statement must be completed by all counsel and filed with the court within 14 days of the date of docketing. When the United States or its officer or agency is a party, this Docketing Statement must be completed by all counsel and filed with the court within 30 days of docketing. All questions must be answered or the statement will be rejected. Name of the party you represent Jemal's Lazriv Water, LLC	\mathbf{V}_{ullet}
This Docketing Statement must be completed by all counsel and filed with the court within 14 days of the date of docketing. When the United States or its officer or agency is a party, this Docketing Statement must be completed by all counsel and filed with the court within 30 days of docketing. All questions must be answered or the statement will be rejected. Name of the party you represent Jemal's Lazriv Water, LLC	United States
14 days of the date of docketing. When the United States or its officer or agency is a party, this Docketing Statement must be completed by all counsel and filed with the court within 30 days of docketing. All questions must be answered or the statement will be rejected. Name of the party you represent Jemal's Lazriv Water, LLC	DOCKETING STATEMENT
Party is (select one) Appellant/Petitioner Cross-Appellant Appellee/Respondent Intervenor Tribunal appealed from and Case No. US. Court of Federal Claims, No. 12-151 C Date of Judgment/Order December 19, 2013 Type of Case Contract - Lease (CDA) Relief sought on appeal Reversal of trial court's order on cross-motions for summary judgment Relief awarded below (if damages, specify) Summary Judgment in favor of the United States Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from The Court of Federal Claims ("CFC") granted summary judgment in favor of the United States and denied Appellant's request for summary judgment. The case concerns the United States' obligation under the parties' lease to reimburse Appellant for increases in real estate taxes over a "base year amount," which in this instance depends on when the property first received a "full assessment." The	14 days of the date of docketing. When the United States or its officer or agency is a party this Docketing Statement must be completed by all counsel and filed with the court within 30 days of docketing. All questions must be answered or the statement will be rejected.
Appellee/Respondent Intervenor Tribunal appealed from and Case No. US. Court of Federal Claims, No. 12-151 C Date of Judgment/Order December 19, 2013 Type of Case Contract - Lease (CDA) Relief sought on appeal Reversal of trial court's order on cross-motions for summary judgment Relief awarded below (if damages, specify) Summary Judgment in favor of the United States Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from The Court of Federal Claims ("CFC") granted summary judgment in favor of the United States and denied Appellant's request for summary judgment. The case concerns the United States' obligation ander the parties' lease to reimburse Appellant for increases in real estate taxes over a "base year amount," which in this instance depends on when the property first received a "full assessment." The	Name of the party you represent
Date of Judgment/Order December 19, 2013 Type of Case Contract - Lease (CDA) Relief sought on appeal Reversal of trial court's order on cross-motions for summary judgment Relief awarded below (if damages, specify) Summary Judgment in favor of the United States Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from The Court of Federal Claims ("CFC") granted summary judgment in favor of the United States and denied Appellant's request for summary judgment. The case concerns the United States' obligation under the parties' lease to reimburse Appellant for increases in real estate taxes over a "base year amount," which in this instance depends on when the property first received a "full assessment." The	
Date of Judgment/Order December 19, 2013 Type of Case Contract - Lease (CDA) Relief sought on appeal Reversal of trial court's order on cross-motions for summary judgment Relief awarded below (if damages, specify) Summary Judgment in favor of the United States Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from The Court of Federal Claims ("CFC") granted summary judgment in favor of the United States and denied Appellant's request for summary judgment. The case concerns the United States' obligation under the parties' lease to reimburse Appellant for increases in real estate taxes over a "base year amount," which in this instance depends on when the property first received a "full assessment." The	Tribunal appealed from and Case No. US. Court of Federal Claims, No. 12-151 C
Relief awarded below (if damages, specify) Summary Judgment in favor of the United States Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from The Court of Federal Claims ("CFC") granted summary judgment in favor of the United States and denied Appellant's request for summary judgment. The case concerns the United States' obligation under the parties' lease to reimburse Appellant for increases in real estate taxes over a "base year amount," which in this instance depends on when the property first received a "full assessment." The	
Briefly describe the judgment/order appealed from The Court of Federal Claims ("CFC") granted summary judgment in favor of the United States and denied Appellant's request for summary judgment. The case concerns the United States' obligation under the parties' lease to reimburse Appellant for increases in real estate taxes over a "base year amount," which in this instance depends on when the property first received a "full assessment." The	Relief sought on appeal Reversal of trial court's order on cross-motions for summary judgment
The Court of Federal Claims ("CFC") granted summary judgment in favor of the United States and denied Appellant's request for summary judgment. The case concerns the United States' obligation under the parties' lease to reimburse Appellant for increases in real estate taxes over a "base year amount," which in this instance depends on when the property first received a "full assessment." The	Relief awarded below (if damages, specify) Summary Judgment in favor of the United States
Of O found that the first year of full assessment for the property was tax year 2010, instead of 2007.	The Court of Federal Claims ("CFC") granted summary judgment in favor of the United States and denied Appellant's request for summary judgment. The case concerns the United States' obligation under the parties' lease to reimburse Appellant for increases in real estate taxes over a "base year"

Form 26

FORM 26. Docketing Statement (continued)

Nature of j	judgment (select one)				
\checkmark	Final Judgment, 28 USC 1295				
	Rule 54(b)				
	Interlocutory Order (specify type)				
	Other (explain; see Fed. Cir. R. 28(a)(5))				
	docket number of any related cases pending before lge if an opinion was issued		-		
Whether the States' motic	ment of the issues to be raised on appeal CFC erred in denying Appellant's motion for summary j on (1) based on a lease interpretation that construes the	term "co	ntempla	ated	
Whether the States' motion mprovemen	e CFC erred in denying Appellant's motion for summary j	term "co	ntempla	ated	
Whether the States' motion mprovement establish tax	e CFC erred in denying Appellant's motion for summary j on (1) based on a lease interpretation that construes the hts" as requiring "completed improvements" and (2) desp	term "co pite undisp	ntempla outed fa	ated acts the	
Whether the States' motion mprovement establish tax	e CFC erred in denying Appellant's motion for summary jon (1) based on a lease interpretation that construes the hts" as requiring "completed improvements" and (2) despoyed year 2007 as the first of "full assessment."	term "co bite undisp ————————————————————————————————————	ntempla outed fa	ated acts the case?	
Whether the States' motion mprovement establish taxed there	e CFC erred in denying Appellant's motion for summary jon (1) based on a lease interpretation that construes the hts" as requiring "completed improvements" and (2) despoyed year 2007 as the first of "full assessment."	term "co bite undisp ————————————————————————————————————	ntemple outed fa	ated acts the case?	at
Whether the States' motion mprovement establish taxed there	e CFC erred in denying Appellant's motion for summary jon (1) based on a lease interpretation that construes the hts" as requiring "completed improvements" and (2) despoy year 2007 as the first of "full assessment." The been discussions with other parties relating to settle the settle of the se	term "co bite undisp ————————————————————————————————————	ntemple outed fa	ated acts the case?	at
Whether the States' motion mprovement establish taxed. Have there If "yes," w	e CFC erred in denying Appellant's motion for summary jon (1) based on a lease interpretation that construes the last as requiring "completed improvements" and (2) despect year 2007 as the first of "full assessment." The been discussions with other parties relating to settly when were the last such discussions?	term "co bite undisp ————————————————————————————————————	ntemple outed fa	ated acts the case?	at
Whether the States' motion mprovement establish taxed. Have there If "yes," w	e CFC erred in denying Appellant's motion for summary jon (1) based on a lease interpretation that construes the lits" as requiring "completed improvements" and (2) despect year 2007 as the first of "full assessment." The been discussions with other parties relating to settly then were the last such discussions? Before the case was filed below?	term "co bite undisp ————————————————————————————————————	ntemple outed fa	ated acts the case?	at
Whether the States' motion in provement establish taxe. Have there	e CFC erred in denying Appellant's motion for summary jon (1) based on a lease interpretation that construes the last as requiring "completed improvements" and (2) despect year 2007 as the first of "full assessment." The been discussions with other parties relating to settly then were the last such discussions? Before the case was filed below? During the pendency of the case below?	term "co bite undisp ————————————————————————————————————	ntemple outed fa	ated acts the case?	at
Whether the States' motiving rovement establish tax Have there	e CFC erred in denying Appellant's motion for summary jon (1) based on a lease interpretation that construes the hts" as requiring "completed improvements" and (2) despoy year 2007 as the first of "full assessment." The been discussions with other parties relating to settly then were the last such discussions? Before the case was filed below? During the pendency of the case below? Following the judgment/order appealed from?	lement o	ntemple puted for this of Yes	case?	No No

Form 26

FORM 26. Docketing Statement (continued)

ppellant does not believe this case can be settl n appeal.	led in its current posture, prior to the Court's decision
Provide any other information relevant to the program. Jone.	he inclusion of this case in the court's mediation
certify that I filed an original and one cope the United States Court of Appeals for the ecord, this 6th day of February	by of this Docketing Statement with the Clerk of Federal Circuit and served a copy on counsel of 2014
y: electronic means (CM/ECF and e-mail)	
(manne	er of service)
ynn E. Calkins	/s/ Lynn E. Calkins
Name of Counsel	Signature of Counsel
Law Firm Holland & Knight LLP	
Address 800 17th Street, NW, Suite 1100	
City, State, ZIP Washington, DC 20006	
Felephone Number (202) 955-3000	
FAX Number (202) 955-5564	
E-mail Address	